

Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **53** (2016), No. 6, pp. 1613–1615  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b140895>  
pISSN: 1015-8634 / eISSN: 2234-3016

**QUASI-COMPLETENESS AND LOCALIZATIONS OF  
POLYNOMIAL DOMAINS: A CONJECTURE FROM “OPEN  
PROBLEMS IN COMMUTATIVE RING THEORY”**

JONATHAN DAVID FARLEY

Reprinted from the  
Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society  
Vol. 53, No. 6, November 2016

©2016 Korean Mathematical Society

QUASI-COMPLETENESS AND LOCALIZATIONS OF  
POLYNOMIAL DOMAINS: A CONJECTURE FROM “OPEN  
PROBLEMS IN COMMUTATIVE RING THEORY”

JONATHAN DAVID FARLEY

ABSTRACT. It is proved that  $k[X_1, \dots, X_v]$  localized at the ideal  $(X_1, \dots, X_v)$ , where  $k$  is a field and  $X_1, \dots, X_v$  indeterminates, is not weakly quasi-complete for  $v \geq 2$ , thus proving a conjecture of D. D. Anderson and solving a problem from “Open Problems in Commutative Ring Theory” by Cahen, Fontana, Frisch, and Glaz.

Our rings are commutative with multiplicative identity. We use terminology from [4] and [5].

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal  $M$ . The ring is (*weakly*) *quasi-complete* if, for any decreasing subsequence  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of ideals of  $R$  (such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I_n = \{0\}$ ) and any  $k \geq 1$ , there exists  $m \geq 1$  such that  $I_m \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty I_n + M^k$ .

In the chapter “Open Problems in Commutative Ring Theory” by Cahen, Fontana, Frisch, and Glaz of the Springer Verlag volume *Commutative Algebra: Recent advances in commutative rings, integer-valued polynomials, and polynomial functions* edited by Fontana, Frisch, and Glaz appears the following.

**Problem** ([2, Problem 8b]). Let  $k$  be a field and let  $R$  be the localization of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_v]$  at the ideal generated by the  $v \geq 2$  indeterminates  $X_1, \dots, X_v$ . Is  $R$  (weakly) quasi-complete?

Daniel D. Anderson conjectures that the answer is “no” [1, Conjecture 1] and proves that the answer is “no” if  $k$  is countable. His proof depends on the following.

**Proposition 1** ([1, Corollary 2, Part 1]). *A Noetherian local integral domain  $R$  is weakly quasi-complete if and only if  $P \cap R \neq \{0\}$  for each non-zero prime ideal  $P$  of  $\hat{R}$ , the completion of  $R$ .*

---

Received November 14, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A15, 13B30, 13B35, 13E05, 16P40, 16P50, 16S85.

*Key words and phrases.* quasi-completeness, Noetherian ring, commutative ring, polynomial ring, localization, ring of formal power series, completion.

The author would like to thank student of Kaplansky and fellow lattice-theory enthusiast Dr. D. D. Anderson for providing a preprint of his paper.

**Lemma 2** ([1, Example 1]). *Let  $K$  be a countable field and let  $v \geq 2$ . Then there exists a non-zero prime ideal  $P$  of the ring of formal power series  $K[[X_1, \dots, X_v]]$  such that  $P \cap K[X_1, \dots, X_v] = \{0\}$ .*

We solve the above problem by proving the following.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $k$  be a field and let  $v \geq 2$ . Then there exists a non-zero prime ideal  $Q$  of  $k[[X_1, \dots, X_v]]$  such that  $Q \cap k[X_1, \dots, X_v] = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* For notational simplicity, we set  $v = 2$  and use indeterminates  $X$  and  $Y$ . Let  $P$  be the ideal of the lemma when  $K$  is the prime subfield of  $k$ . Pick  $f \in P \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $B$  be a basis of the vector space  $k$  over  $K$ .

Let  $g \in k[[X, Y]]$ . For  $m, n \geq 0$ , the coefficient of  $X^m Y^n$  in  $g$  is  $\sum_{b \in B} z_b^{m,n} b$ , where for fixed  $m$  and  $n$  almost all  $z_b^{m,n} \in K$  are 0, and in  $f$  it is  $a^{m,n} \in K$ ; in  $fg$  it is

$$\sum_{b \in B} \sum_{\substack{r,s,r',s' \geq 0 \\ \text{such that } r+r'=m \text{ and } s+s'=n}} a^{r,s} z_b^{r',s'} b.$$

If  $fg \in k[X, Y]$ , then there exists  $N \geq 0$  such that for all  $m, n \geq 0$  with  $m + n > N$  we have

$$\sum_{b \in B} \sum_{\substack{r,s,r',s' \geq 0 \\ \text{such that } r+r'=m \text{ and } s+s'=n}} a^{r,s} z_b^{r',s'} b = 0,$$

which means that for all  $b \in B$

$$\sum_{\substack{r,s,r',s' \geq 0 \\ \text{such that } r+r'=m \text{ and } s+s'=n}} a^{r,s} z_b^{r',s'} = 0.$$

If  $fg \neq 0$ , then there exist  $\bar{m}, \bar{n} \geq 0$  such that the coefficient of  $X^{\bar{m}} Y^{\bar{n}}$  is non-zero, i.e.,

$$\sum_{b \in B} \sum_{\substack{r,s,r',s' \geq 0 \\ \text{such that } r+r'=\bar{m} \text{ and } s+s'=\bar{n}}} a^{r,s} z_b^{r',s'} b \neq 0,$$

so there exists  $\bar{b} \in B$  such that

$$\sum_{\substack{r,s,r',s' \geq 0 \\ \text{such that } r+r'=\bar{m} \text{ and } s+s'=\bar{n}}} a^{r,s} z_{\bar{b}}^{r',s'} \neq 0.$$

Letting  $\bar{g} \in K[[X, Y]]$  have  $z_{\bar{b}}^{m,n}$  as the coefficient of  $X^m Y^n$  for  $m, n \geq 0$ , we see that  $f\bar{g}$  is a non-zero element of  $K[X, Y]$ , so  $P \cap K[X, Y] \neq \{0\}$ , a contradiction. Thus we have proven.

**Claim 1.** If  $\bar{P}$  is the principal ideal generated by  $f$  in  $k[[X, Y]]$ , then  $\bar{P} \cap k[X, Y] = \{0\}$ .

**Claim 2.** The ideal  $\bar{P}$  is proper.

*Proof.* If  $1 \in \bar{P}$ , then  $f$  would be a unit in  $k[[X, Y]]$ , and hence  $a^{0,0} \neq 0$  [5, 1.43]; but then  $f$  would be a unit in  $K[[X, Y]]$ , so  $P$  would be improper, a contradiction.  $\square$

Since  $k[[X, Y]]$  is Noetherian [5, 8.14], by Claim 2  $\bar{P}$  has a primary decomposition  $\bar{P} = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_t$  for some  $t \geq 1$  [5, 4.35]. Hence  $\sqrt{\bar{P}} = P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_t$  for prime ideals  $P_1, \dots, P_t$  of  $k[[X, Y]]$  [5, 2.30, 4.5].

**Claim 3.** The intersection  $\sqrt{\bar{P}} \cap k[X, Y]$  equals  $\{0\}$ .

*Proof.* If there exists a non-zero  $g \in k[X, Y]$  such that  $g^r \in \bar{P}$  for some  $r \geq 1$ , then  $g^r \in (\bar{P} \cap k[X, Y]) \setminus \{0\}$ , contradicting Claim 1.  $\square$

**Claim 4.** For some  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ ,  $P_i \cap k[X, Y] = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Assume for a contradiction that for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ , there exists  $g_i \in (P_i \cap k[X, Y]) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $0 \neq g_1 \cdots g_t \in P_1 \cap \cdots \cap P_t \cap k[X, Y] = \sqrt{\bar{P}} \cap k[X, Y]$ , contradicting Claim 3.  $\square$

Let  $Q := P_i$  to prove the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $k$  be a field,  $R$  the localization of  $k[X_1, \dots, X_v]$  at the ideal  $(X_1, \dots, X_v)$  where  $v \geq 2$ . Then  $R$  is not weakly quasi-complete.*

## References

- [1] D. D. Anderson, *Quasi-complete semilocal rings and modules*, In Fontana et al. (eds.), *Commutative Algebra: Recent Advances in Commutative Rings, Integer-Valued Polynomials, and Polynomial Functions*, Springer Verlag, New York, 2014.
- [2] P.-J. Cahen, M. Fontana, S. Frisch, and S. Glaz, *Open Problems in Commutative Ring Theory*, In Fontana et al. (eds.), *Commutative Algebra: Recent Advances in Commutative Rings, Integer-Valued Polynomials, and Polynomial Functions*, Springer Verlag, New York, 2014.
- [3] M. Fontana, S. Frisch, and S. Glaz, *Commutative Algebra: Recent Advances in Commutative Rings, Integer-Valued Polynomials, and Polynomial Functions*, Springer Verlag, New York, 2014.
- [4] D. G. Northcott, *Ideal Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1953.
- [5] R. Y. Sharp, *Steps in Commutative Algebra*, second edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

JONATHAN DAVID FARLEY  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 MORGAN STATE UNIVERSITY  
 1700 E. COLD SPRING LANE  
 BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21251, USA  
*E-mail address:* `lattice.theory@gmail.com`